



Control Number: 48358



Item Number: 150

Addendum StartPage: 0

SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-18-5064
PUC DOCKET NO. 48358

RECEIVED

2018 SEP 10 PM 1:34

APPLICATION OF LCRA
TRANSMISSION SERVICES
CORPORATION TO AMEND ITS
CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE
AND NECESSITY FOR THE
PROPOSED COOKS POINT 138-KV
TRANSMISSION LINE PROJECT IN
BURLESON COUNTY, TEXAS

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

BEFORE THE STATE OFFICE
OF PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
FILING CLERK

OF

ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

DIRECT TESTIMONY AND EXHIBIT

OF

JESSICA R. MELENDEZ, P.E. #104702

ON BEHALF OF

APPLICANT

LCRA TRANSMISSION SERVICES CORPORATION

September 10, 2018

SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-18-5064
PUC DOCKET NO. 48358
DIRECT TESTIMONY AND EXHIBIT OF JESSICA R. MELENDEZ, P.E.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	3
II.	SCOPE AND PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY.....	4
III.	DESCRIPTION OF THE PROJECT	5
IV.	STRUCTURE TYPE, ROW WIDTH, AND SUBSTATION ACREAGE	5
V.	ENGINEERING CONSIDERATIONS.....	7
VI.	COST ESTIMATES	12
VII.	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION	14

EXHIBIT

Exhibit JRM-1: Electric and Magnetic Field Calculations

SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-18-5064
PUC DOCKET NO. 48358
DIRECT TESTIMONY AND EXHIBIT OF JESSICA R. MELENDEZ, P.E.

I. INTRODUCTION

1

2 **Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.**

3 A. My name is Jessica R. Melendez, P.E. My business address is: Lower Colorado River
4 Authority (LCRA), 3505 Montopolis Drive, Bldg. D, Austin, Texas 78744.

5 **Q. WHAT IS YOUR CURRENT OCCUPATION?**

6 A. I am a Senior Engineer employed by the LCRA in the Line and Structural Engineering
7 Department.

8 **Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR EDUCATIONAL AND PROFESSIONAL**
9 **BACKGROUND.**

10 A. I earned a Bachelor of Science Degree in Architectural Engineering from the University of
11 Texas at Austin in 2003 and a Master of Science Degree in Engineering Management from
12 the University of Texas at Austin in 2008. I am a licensed professional engineer in the State
13 of Texas (License No. 104702) and have worked for professional engineers or as a
14 professional engineer since 2003. I have worked in the Line and Structural Engineering
15 Department at LCRA since May 2005.

16 **Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR CURRENT JOB RESPONSIBILITIES.**

17 A. I provide professional services related to the engineering design of electric transmission
18 lines. I am responsible for organizing, executing, and managing various types of
19 transmission line projects and ensuring that the designs address the provisions and
20 requirements of applicable engineering regulations, guidelines, and standards. I prepare
21 cost estimates, perform engineering calculations, procure consulting services, structures,
22 and other materials, prepare construction documents (drawings, specifications, and cost
23 estimates), provide construction support and oversight, and provide engineering support to
24 LCRA Transmission Services Corporation's (LCRA TSC's) transmission maintenance and
25 operations functions. I perform engineering analyses, prepare cost estimates, and provide

1 engineering expert witness testimony for CCN projects. I also train and mentor new
2 engineers in the Line and Structural Engineering Department.

3 **Q. HAVE YOU TESTIFIED PREVIOUSLY BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY
4 COMMISSION OF TEXAS (PUC OR COMMISSION)?**

5 A. Yes, I testified in Docket Nos. 39479, 43599, and 45866.

6 **II. SCOPE AND PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY**

7 **Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?**

8 A. The purpose of my testimony is to describe the engineering, design, and cost aspects of the
9 proposed Cooks Point 138-kilovolt (kV) Transmission Line Project (Project), including:

- 10 (1) the design of the proposed facilities;
- 11 (2) the proposed transmission line structure structures and right-of-way (ROW)
12 widths;
- 13 (3) the proposed substation acreages;
- 14 (4) engineering considerations and permits for the proposed facilities; and
- 15 (5) estimated costs for the proposed facilities.

16 **Q. WHAT PORTIONS OF LCRA TSC'S APPLICATION TO AMEND ITS
17 CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY (CCN) FOR THE
18 PROJECT (APPLICATION) DO YOU SPONSOR?**

19 A. I sponsor the responses to Questions 5 and 13 in the Application. I also co-sponsor the
20 response to Question 4 with Mr. Kristian Koellner and Mr. Justin Stryker, the responses to
21 Questions 6, 20, and 23 with Ms. Melinda Jensen, the responses to Questions 7 and 8 with
22 Mr. Koellner, and the response to Question 17 with Ms. Jensen and Mr. Stryker. I co-
23 sponsor with Mr. Koellner and Mr. Stryker Section 1 of the *Environmental Assessment and*
24 *Alternative Route Analysis for LCRA TSC's Proposed Cooks Point 138-kV Transmission*
25 *Line Project in Burlason County, Texas* (EA) prepared by URS that is included as
26 Attachment 1 to the Application. I also sponsor Attachment 4 to the Application. Please
27 refer to Exhibit JAS-4 in Mr. Stryker's direct testimony for an overview of sponsorship of
28 the Application in this case.

1 Q. WERE YOUR TESTIMONY AND THE PORTIONS OF THE APPLICATION
2 YOU SPONSOR PREPARED BY YOU OR BY KNOWLEDGEABLE PERSONS
3 UPON WHOSE EXPERTISE, JUDGMENT, AND OPINIONS YOU RELY IN
4 PERFORMING YOUR DUTIES?

5 A. Yes, they were.

6 Q. IS THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN YOUR TESTIMONY AND THE
7 PORTIONS OF THE APPLICATION YOU SPONSOR TRUE AND CORRECT TO
8 THE BEST OF YOUR KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF?

9 A. Yes, it is.

10 III. DESCRIPTION OF THE PROJECT

11 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE PROJECT.

12 A. LCRA TSC proposes to construct, own, and operate a new 138-kV electric transmission
13 line and load-serving substation in Burleson County. The Project will connect from either
14 the existing Bluebonnet Electric Cooperative (BBEC) Lyle Wolz Substation or the existing
15 BBEC Lyons Substation to a new substation in the vicinity of the Cooks Point community.
16 The entire project will be approximately 17 to 23 miles in length, depending on the route
17 approved by the Commission.

18 IV. STRUCTURE TYPE, ROW WIDTH, AND SUBSTATION ACREAGE

19 Q. WHAT TYPICAL STRUCTURE TYPE DOES LCRA TSC PROPOSE TO USE
20 FOR THIS PROJECT?

21 A. LCRA TSC proposes to construct the Project with 138-kV single-circuit steel and/or
22 concrete poles, typically 75 to 110 feet above ground. If ordered otherwise by the PUC, or
23 in constrained areas such as, but not limited to, transmission line crossings and in proximity
24 to airports or heliports, LCRA TSC could use shorter than typical, taller than typical, or
25 alternative structure types including H-frames.

1 **Q. WHAT TYPICAL NEW ROW WIDTH DOES LCRA TSC USE FOR NEW 138-KV**
2 **TRANSMISSION LINE PROJECTS?**

3 A. LCRA TSC's typical minimum ROW width for a new 138-kV transmission line is 80 feet.
4 LCRA TSC uses this ROW for safe access to the transmission line structures and to provide
5 the necessary clearances between the conductor and structures and vegetation outside of
6 the controlled ROW.

7 **Q. WHAT ROW WIDTH DOES LCRA TSC PROPOSE TO USE FOR THIS**
8 **PROJECT?**

9 A. The new 138-kV transmission facilities will typically be constructed in new ROW within
10 80-foot easements and using spans that range from approximately 600 to 1,000 feet.
11 However, LCRA TSC evaluated some areas where segments could be located using a
12 narrower than typical ROW width. Specifically, all or some portions of Segments A4, N,
13 U3, and Z1 are estimated using a 60-foot ROW. This narrower ROW width results in
14 shorter spans (approximately 500 to 600 feet), which will require the use of more poles.

15 In other areas where 60 feet of private ROW space was not available but adjacent
16 road ROW could be used, LCRA TSC proposes to utilize the road ROW for some portion
17 of the necessary clearances. For example, 40 feet of ROW on private property plus 20 feet
18 of clearance in the road ROW equals 60 feet of total clearance for the transmission line.
19 Specifically, all or some portions of Segments A4 are estimated to utilize a portion of road
20 ROW for clearance purposes.

21 Thus, because 80 foot ROW was not available throughout the study area, LCRA
22 TSC's use of narrower than typical ROW allowed it to identify a robust set of
23 geographically diverse route alternatives.

24 Actual easement widths will be determined during the detailed design phase of the
25 Project. Access easements and/or temporary construction easements may be needed in
26 some areas as well.

1 **Q. DOES LCRA TSC PLAN TO UTILIZE ANY OTHER EXISTING ROW OR**
2 **EASEMENTS FOR THE PROPOSED TRANSMISSION LINE?**

3 A. No. Where proposed segments parallel an existing transmission line, LCRA TSC plans to
4 acquire an independent easement directly adjacent to the existing transmission line
5 easement.

6 With the exception of proposed Segment A4 described above, where proposed
7 segments parallel existing road ROW, railroad ROW, pipeline ROW or easements, and/or
8 electric distribution line ROW or easements, LCRA TSC plans to acquire an independent
9 easement adjacent to the other existing infrastructure ROW or easement.

10 **Q. WHAT ARE THE ESTIMATED ACREAGES OF THE PROPOSED COOKS**
11 **POINT SUBSTATION SITES?**

12 A. Alternative substation sites 1, 2, and 4 are estimated to be approximately 8 acres.
13 Alternative substation site 3 is estimated to be approximately 9 acres. The estimated
14 substation acreages account for the area required for the electrical components of the
15 substation as well as the area required for substation access, grading, and construction.

16 **Q. WHY IS PROPOSED SUBSTATION SITE 3 ESTIMATED TO BE**
17 **APPROXIMATELY ONE ACRE LARGER THAN THE OTHER ALTERNATIVE**
18 **SUBSTATION SITES?**

19 A. Substation site 3 is estimated to be one acre larger than the other alternative substation sites
20 because the terrain at that location requires additional grading.

21 **V. ENGINEERING CONSIDERATIONS**

22 **Q. WHAT ENGINEERING CONSIDERATIONS WILL BE USED IN THE DESIGN**
23 **OF THE PROJECT?**

24 A. LCRA TSC will design the Project to meet or exceed industry-accepted standards and
25 specifications for operating the transmission facilities in a safe and reliable manner,
26 including the National Electrical Safety Code (NESC). The Project will be constructed in
27 a manner that complies with all state and federal statutes and regulations applicable to
28 transmission line construction and operation, as well as LCRA TSC's Transmission Line

1 Engineering Standards, LCRA TSC's 138-kV substation engineering standards, and the
2 Rural Utilities Service (RUS) "Design Manual for High Voltage Transmission Lines."

3 **Q. HOW WILL LCRA TSC DETERMINE THE FINAL ALIGNMENT OF THE**
4 **ROUTE APPROVED BY THE COMMISSION?**

5 A. Upon Commission approval, engineers for LCRA TSC will begin detailed design of the
6 Project and develop an alignment based on the approved route. This will involve gathering
7 detailed survey information, including locations of above-ground, at-grade, and sub-
8 surface constraints and precise property boundary and easement locations, as well as any
9 locations of environmental and cultural resources.

10 **Q. WILL LCRA TSC WORK WITH LANDOWNERS TO MAKE MINOR ROUTE**
11 **ADJUSTMENTS FOLLOWING THE COMMISSION'S APPROVAL OF A**
12 **ROUTE?**

13 A. Yes. In accordance with direction set forth in the Commission's order, LCRA TSC will
14 work with landowners on minor routing modifications during the design phase of the
15 Project.

16 **Q. IS IT TYPICAL FOR LCRA TSC TO MAKE OTHER MINOR ROUTE**
17 **ADJUSTMENTS FOLLOWING THE COMMISSION'S APPROVAL OF A**
18 **ROUTE FOR ENGINEERING REASONS?**

19 A. Yes. During the CCN phase of the Project, LCRA TSC develops primary segments based
20 on aerial imagery, georectified LiDAR (terrain) data, appraisal district parcel boundaries,
21 and other publicly available utility data. As described above, during the detailed design
22 phase, LCRA TSC will gather detailed survey information, including locations of above-
23 ground, at-grade, and sub-surface constraints, precise property boundary locations, a list
24 and location of all easements located on each property, and precise locations of any
25 environmental and cultural resources. The results of the detailed surveying information,
26 including any new constraints constructed during or after the CCN phase, will determine
27 the final alignment of the transmission line and have the potential to result in minor route
28 adjustments between the CCN phase and the construction phase of the Project.

1 The ability to make minor route adjustments for engineering reasons is particularly
2 important in study areas that are urban and in study areas such as this one that are congested
3 with existing and developing above-ground and underground infrastructure like oil and gas
4 wells and associated pipelines.

5 Given the preliminary nature of the data available during the CCN phase of the
6 Project and the pace of oil and gas development in this study area, LCRA TSC requests
7 that the Commission adopt ordering language giving LCRA TSC flexibility to modify the
8 approved route to the minimum extent necessary to avoid engineering constraints
9 encountered following Commission approval, consistent with good utility practice.

10 **Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE TYPICAL CONSTRUCTION, OPERATION, AND**
11 **MAINTENANCE PROCESS FOR A TRANSMISSION LINE AND SUBSTATION**
12 **OF THE TYPE PROPOSED FOR THE PROJECT.**

13 A. During construction, transmission line projects of this type require surveying, ROW
14 clearing, foundation installation, structure assembly and erection, conductor and shield
15 wire installation, and cleanup. Following construction, LCRA TSC will perform periodic
16 inspection of the ROW, structures, wires, and major substation equipment.

17 The substation will require a graded site pad and an access road with construction
18 consisting of vegetation removal, cut and fill of existing soils, and the addition of select fill
19 and compacted crushed limestone. Following site preparation, a perimeter fence,
20 foundations, ground grid, electrical equipment, support structures, and a control building
21 will be installed. After all facilities are installed, a final surface layer of gravel will be
22 added, and cleanup will occur when construction is complete. These activities are described
23 further in Sections 1.5 and 1.6 of the EA.

24 **Q. DOES LCRA TSC MITIGATE THE POTENTIAL IMPACTS OF THE**
25 **CONSTRUCTION, OPERATION, AND MAINTENANCE OF TRANSMISSION**
26 **LINES ON THE PUBLIC?**

27 A. Yes. LCRA TSC utilizes a number of practices to mitigate the impacts of vegetation
28 removal, construction, and maintenance. These practices are discussed in detail in Sections
29 1.3, 1.5, and 1.6 of the EA.

1 **Q. WILL ANY ADDITIONAL PERMITS OR APPROVALS BE REQUIRED FOR**
2 **THE PROJECT AND, IF SO, WHEN WILL THEY BE OBTAINED?**

3 A. Yes. Following Commission approval of the Project, permits or other agency actions will
4 be required and will be obtained prior to construction during the design phase of the Project.
5 Permits or regulatory approval may be required from the following entities:

- 6 • Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT)
- 7 • Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ)
- 8 • United States Army Corps of Engineers
- 9 • United States Fish & Wildlife Service (USFWS)
- 10 • Texas Historical Commission (THC)
- 11 • Railroad Owners

12 The potential permits or regulatory approvals are described in more detail in Section 1.3 of
13 the EA.

14 **Q. WHAT COORDINATION ACTIVITIES WILL LCRA TSC PERFORM TO**
15 **ADDRESS THE EXISTENCE OF STEEL PIPELINES CARRYING**
16 **HYDROCARBONS IN THE STUDY AREA?**

17 A. After all other alignments, structure locations, and structure heights are adjusted and set,
18 LCRA TSC will coordinate with these pipeline owners and operators in the vicinity of the
19 route regarding the pipeline owner's or operator's assessment of the need to install
20 measures to mitigate the effects of alternating-current (AC) interference on these pipelines.

21 **Q. HAVE YOU PERFORMED ANY CALCULATIONS RELATED TO THE**
22 **ELECTRIC AND MAGNETIC FIELDS (EMF) THAT MAY BE EMITTED FROM**
23 **THE TRANSMISSION LINE?**

24 A. Yes. I calculated EMF for the typical line configuration based on expected Electric
25 Reliability Council of Texas transmission line load flows. I calculated magnetic fields in
26 milliGauss (mG) and electric fields in kV per meter (kV/m). The results, at various
27 distances from the centerline, are presented in Exhibit JRM-1 to my testimony.

1 **Q. WHAT ARE THE UNDERLYING ASSUMPTIONS FOR THESE EMF**
2 **CALCULATIONS?**

3 A. The EMF calculations presented in Exhibit JRM-1 assume peak loading. The calculation
4 results are taken at a mid-span cross-section where the conductors are at their maximum
5 sag, which results in calculating the highest potential EMF for the span. At most times and
6 in most places, the EMF levels will be less than those presented in the exhibit.

7 **Q. WHAT CONCLUSIONS DID YOU REACH BASED ON THE EMF**
8 **CALCULATIONS YOU PERFORMED?**

9 A. The calculated EMF levels are comparable to other transmission lines of this type.

10 **Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE PRIMARY EQUIPMENT TO BE INSTALLED AT THE**
11 **NEW COOKS POINT SUBSTATION.**

12 A. The new proposed Cooks Point Substation will include the following installations:

- 13 • Two 138-kV A-frame bays;
- 14 • Three 138-kV circuit breakers;
- 15 • Eight 138-kV disconnect switches;
- 16 • One 30 MVA transformer;
- 17 • One 138-kV circuit switcher;
- 18 • Six low voltage (distribution) bays at 24.9-kV; and
- 19 • Substation control houses equipped with associated telecommunications, relay,
20 metering, and control panels.

21 **Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE SCOPE OF WORK TO BE PERFORMED AT THE**
22 **EXISTING SUBSTATIONS IF THE ROUTE SELECTED BY THE PUC**
23 **CONNECTS AT THE LYLE WOLZ SUBSTATION.**

24 A. If the Project connects at Lyle Wolz Substation, the following will be installed at Lyle
25 Wolz:

- 26 • One 138-kV circuit breaker;
- 27 • Three 138-kV disconnect switches; and
- 28 • Associated protective relaying and control equipment (inside an existing control
29 house).

1 **Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE SCOPE OF WORK TO BE PERFORMED AT THE**
2 **EXISTING SUBSTATIONS IF THE ROUTE SELECTED BY THE PUC**
3 **CONNECTS AT THE LYONS SUBSTATION.**

4 A. If the Project connects at Lyons Substation, the following will be installed at Lyons:

- 5 • Three 138-kV A-frame bays;
- 6 • Four 138-kV circuit breakers;
- 7 • Ten 138-kV disconnect switches; and
- 8 • Substation control house equipped with associated telecommunications, relay,
9 metering, and control panels.

10 To accommodate the above installations, the Lyons Substation will be expanded by
11 two acres (on land currently owned by BBEC).

12 Additional relay and control work within the existing control houses at the Lyle
13 Wolz and Gay Hill Substations will also be performed if the Project connects at Lyons
14 Substation.

15 **VI. COST ESTIMATES**

16 **Q. WHAT ARE THE ESTIMATED COSTS FOR THE PROJECT?**

17 A. The total estimated costs for the Project range from approximately \$35 million to \$44
18 million, with route lengths ranging from approximately 17 to 23 miles. The estimated costs
19 are presented in Attachment 4 to the Application.

20 **Q. WHAT INFORMATION DID YOU USE AS A BASIS FOR GENERATING THE**
21 **COST ESTIMATES?**

22 A. I used information from a variety of sources, including segment data from the EA and
23 geographic information system (GIS) analysis, preliminary designs and costs from LCRA
24 TSC vendors and contractors based on long-term contract pricing models, and construction
25 cost estimates based on a review of the Project area. Estimates are based on current pricing.

1 **Q. WHAT METHOD DID YOU USE FOR GENERATING THE REAL ESTATE**
2 **COST ESTIMATES FOR THE TRANSMISSION LINE PORTION OF THE**
3 **PROJECT?**

- 4 A. The real estate cost estimates for the Project were developed using the following steps:
- 5 • Identify the parcels crossed by the estimated transmission line easement for each
6 segment, and determine the estimated easement acreage on each parcel.
 - 7 • Obtain the county tax appraisal market value for each parcel. Use the tax appraisal
8 data to determine the cost per acre for each parcel.
 - 9 • Calculate the estimated easement cost on each parcel by multiplying the estimated
10 easement acreage on the parcel by the tax appraisal market value per acre.
 - 11 • For each segment, sum the estimated easement cost per parcel to obtain the total
12 estimated easement cost for the segment.
 - 13 • Multiply the estimated easement cost by a factor of 2.25. The multiplier factor
14 accounts for additional costs associated with items not specifically included in the
15 estimated cost of the easement on a per parcel basis. These items may include, but
16 are not limited to, temporary construction easements, damages to the remainder,
17 construction damages, temporary or permanent off ROW access easements,
18 changes in land use and/or land value during the period of time between the
19 preparation of the estimate and acquisition, and additional compensation required
20 as an outcome of litigation.

21 **Q. DOES THE TRANSMISSION LINE REAL ESTATE ESTIMATED COST PER**
22 **ACRE DETERMINE THE ROW AND LAND ACQUISITION COST FOR A**
23 **PARCEL THAT LCRA TSC WILL ACTUALLY PAY A LANDOWNER?**

24 A. No. Upon selection of a final route by the Commission, LCRA TSC will determine the
25 precise placement of the alignment on each parcel and use property values based on an
26 independent appraisal to develop actual easement acquisition costs for each parcel. The
27 ROW costs presented in the Application that are used for Project cost estimating purposes
28 should not be viewed or considered as appraised, calculated costs to obtain individual
29 easements across individual parcels. The data I used to estimate ROW costs for the Project
30 were based on publicly available, reproducible, and verifiable information. Basing the
31 estimates on publicly available data ensured that LCRA TSC applied a consistent method
32 for the purpose of comparing relative overall cost estimates among all the proposed
33 alternative routes.

1 Q. DO THE TRANSMISSION LINE COST ESTIMATES INCLUDE COSTS
2 ASSOCIATED WITH POTENTIAL ENDANGERED SPECIES MITIGATION?

3 A. Yes. The cost estimates included in the Application include mitigation costs associated
4 with the federally listed endangered Houston Toad, where applicable.

5 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE IN DETAIL HOW THE ENVIRONMENTAL COST
6 ESTIMATES WERE PREPARED.

7 A. To estimate mitigation costs for impacts to the federally listed endangered Houston Toad,
8 LCRA TSC quantified the amount (in acres) of optimal habitat identified in the Habitat
9 Suitability Analysis (described in Chapter 2 of the EA) that occurs within the proposed
10 ROW. Mitigation was estimated as a 1:1 ratio (acre for acre) at \$7,500 per acre.

11 Q. DO YOU FIND THE COST ESTIMATES TO BE REASONABLE?

12 A. Yes, I do. The estimates were prepared using input from LCRA TSC staff with expertise
13 in different disciplines, including real estate, environmental, and construction. I reviewed
14 the components of the cost estimates and found the cost estimates for the various routes to
15 be reasonable and consistent with engineering practices and market conditions in effect on
16 the filing date.

17 Q. ARE THERE FACTORS THAT COULD AFFECT THE ESTIMATED COSTS
18 PRESENTED IN THE APPLICATION?

19 A. Yes. Changes in market conditions, including construction labor and/or the cost of metals
20 or other natural resources, as well as changes in land use, could increase or decrease costs
21 above or below the estimates contained in the Application. Over time, these and other
22 factors could change, resulting in increased or decreased actual costs compared to the
23 estimated costs.

24 **VII. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION**

25 Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR TESTIMONY.

26 A. LCRA TSC proposes to construct, own, and operate a new 138-kV electric transmission
27 line and load-serving substation in Burleson County. The Project will connect from either
28 the existing BBEC Lyle Wolz Substation or the existing BBEC Lyons Substation to a new

1 substation in the vicinity of the Cooks Point community. The entire project will be
2 approximately 17 to 23 miles in length, depending on the route approved by the
3 Commission.

4 LCRA TSC proposes to construct the Project with 138-kV single-circuit steel
5 and/or concrete poles on typical 80-foot wide easements.

6 The Project cost estimates are reasonable and consistent with engineering practices
7 and market conditions in effect on the filing date.

8 **Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY?**

9 A. Yes, it does.

Exhibit JRM-1: Electric and Magnetic Fields Summary

Table JRM-1a: Electric Fields Summary			
Transmission Line Configuration	Maximum	30 ft from CL	40 ft from CL
	(kV/m)	(kV/m)	(kV/m)
Single Circuit Single Pole Configuration	1.76	0.87	0.59

Table JRM-1b: Magnetic Fields Summary			
Transmission Line Configuration	Maximum	30 ft from CL	40 ft from CL
	(mG)	(mG)	(mG)
Single Circuit Single Pole Configuration	3.91	2.19	1.58

Notes:

Electric fields calculated in kV per meter (kV/m).

Magnetic fields calculated in milliGauss (mG).