LCRA Water Rates for Calendar Year 2022

Water Operations Committee Meeting Sept. 22, 2021

Water Rates Review

- For rate-setting purposes, costs are divided into the following four categories:
 - River management operations and maintenance expenses of managing the river system
 - Water debt obligation debt service and coverage
 - Irrigation canals water delivery agriculture and Gulf Coast industry
 - Other adjustments:
 - 1. Cash-funded capital
 - 2. Firm revenue requirement offsets STP Nuclear Operating Company revenue, City of Austin Water Treatment Plant No. 4 revenue
 - 3. Interruptible share of river management paid by firm during eight-year transition period

Water Rates Review (Continued)

• Firm pays for:

- Allocated portion of river management (78.7%)
- Water debt obligation (100%)
 - Does not include debt related to irrigation assets
- Other adjustments and revenue requirement offsets (100%)
- Interruptible pays for:
 - Irrigation water delivery (100%)
 - Allocated portion of river management (21.3%) Gulf Coast and Lakeside agricultural divisions only

Water Rates Review (Continued)

- River management cost allocation between firm and interruptible based on statistics from the 2020 Water Management Plan
- Allocation based on water availability during the two historic drought periods: 1945-1957 and 2007-2016
 - Allocated portion of river management to firm: 78.7%
 - Allocated portion of river management to interruptible: 21.3%

Water Rates Review (Continued)

- River management costs transitioned to interruptible over eight years beginning in calendar year 2016
 - Full-cost allocation to interruptible is 21.3% by year eight, or calendar year 2023
 - Firm pays for difference during transition period

Calendar Year	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Transition year:	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Interruptible – 2020 WMP	13.5%	14.7%	15.9%	15.9%	17.1%	18.5%	19.9%	21.3%
Interruptible – 2015 WMP	13.5%	14.7%	15.9%	15.9%	17.1%	18.3%	19.5%	20.7%

Cost Comparisons

Costs for 2022 rate vs. costs for 2021 rate

River Management Costs

(Dollars in thousands)	CY 2022	CY 2021	Variance – Favorable (Unfavorable)		
	Rate	Rate	Amount	Percent	Explanation/Comments
Labor	\$7,243	\$7,246	\$3	0.0%	
Enterprise Support expense	\$4,399	\$4,196	(\$203)	(4.8%)	 Greater property insurance: (\$46,000) Greater employee benefits not charged through labor: (\$170,000) Greater IT/Cybersecurity: (\$85,000)
Outside Services	\$2,063	\$1,003	(\$1,060)	(105.7%)	 Includes \$543,000 known and measurable adjustment to reflect FY 2022 business plan forecast
Materials and supplies	\$319	\$292	(\$27)	(9.2%)	
Water right permit fees	\$325	\$325	\$0	0.0%	
Bad debt expense	\$100	\$100	\$0	0.0%	
Electric service	\$159	\$19	(\$140)	(736.8%)	 Arbuckle Reservoir electric costs: (\$142,000) 30% of Lane City River Plant and 100% of Re-lift Pump Station
Telephone and other utilities	\$27	\$26	(\$1)	(3.8%)	
Other expenses	\$163	\$219	\$56	25.6%	 Primarily lower employee business expenses and computer hardware/software: (\$44,000)
Public Service Fund expense	\$985	\$892	(\$93)	(10.4%)	3% of total firm revenue requirement
Resource Development Fund expense	\$613	\$553	(\$60)	(10.8%)	• 2% of total firm revenue requirement
Total river management costs	\$16,396	\$14,871	(\$1,525)	(10.3%)	

Allocation of River Management Costs Between Firm and Interruptible

(Dollars in thousands)	Total PM Costs	Allocated to:Allocated to:Total RM CostsFirmInterruptible		How Allocated Between Firm		
	– 2022 Rate	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent	and Interruptible
Labor	\$7,243	\$5,700	78.7%	\$1,543	21.3%	River management allocator
Enterprise Support expense	\$4,399	\$3,462	78.7%	\$937	21.3%	River management allocator
Outside services	\$2,063	\$1,624	78.7%	\$439	21.3%	River management allocator
Materials and supplies	\$319	\$251	78.7%	\$68	21.3%	River management allocator
Water right permit fees	\$325	\$256	78.8%	\$69	21.2%	River management allocator
Bad debt expense	\$100	\$100	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100% firm
Electric service	\$159	\$125	78.6%	\$34	21.4%	River management allocator
Telephone and other utilities	\$27	\$21	77.8%	\$6	22.2%	River management allocator
Other expenses	\$163	\$129	79.1%	\$34	20.9%	River management allocator
Public Service Fund expense	\$985	\$891		\$94		3% of firm revenue requirement – includes Garwood Agricultural Division revenues
Resource Development Fund expense	\$613	\$550		\$63		2% of firm revenue requirement
Total river management costs	\$16,396	\$13,109	79.9%	\$3,287	20.1%	

Water Debt Obligation* – 100% to Firm

(Dollars in thousands)	CY 2022 Rate	CY 2021 Rate	Variance – Favorable (Unfavorable)	Explanation/Comments
Long-term revenue bonds – scheduled debt service	\$3,598	\$3,604	\$6	
Assume bond-out of \$22 million of outstanding tax-exempt commercial paper	\$1,518	\$0	(\$1,518)	 Bond-out of \$22 million dam rehabilitation and new water supply
Tax-exempt commercial paper – interest expense and line of credit fees	\$1,119	\$1,635	\$516	 Tax-exempt commercial paper interest on new capital spending for CY 2022: \$152,000 Tax-exempt commercial paper credit line fees: \$967,000
Total debt service	\$6,235	\$5,239	(\$996)	
Debt service coverage – 25 points	\$1,559	\$1,310	(\$249)	
Total water debt obligation	\$7,794	\$6,549	(\$1,245)	

*River management debt only; does not include debt service related to irrigation assets

Other Adjustments – 100% to Firm

(Dollars in thousands)	CY 2022 Rate	CY 2021 Rate	Variance – Favorable (Unfavorable)	Explanation/Comments
Cash-funded capital	\$6,457	\$6,112	(\$345)	 Equity-funding of new capital spending – debt avoidance
STP Nuclear Operating Company – firm revenue requirement offset	(\$985)	(\$985)	\$0	• STP Nuclear Operating Company pays \$17.90 per acre-foot for 55,000 a-f per year minimum
City of Austin WTP No. 4 – firm revenue requirement offset	(\$627)	(\$592)	\$35	 City of Austin pays \$20 per a-f for WTP No. 4 Lake Travis diversions
Corix Lometa lease – firm revenue requirement offset	\$0	(\$123)	(\$123)	 Paused during Lometa asset sale negotiation CY 2022 is year eight of 15 of lease agreement
Interruptible share of river management paid by firm during eight-year transition	\$216	\$392	\$176	 CY 2022 is the seventh year of the eight-year transition to full-cost recovery for interruptible's share of allocated river management costs Firm pays for difference during the transition period
Total other adjustments	\$5,061	\$4,804	(\$257)	

Summary – Total Firm Costs

(Dollars in thousands)				
	CY 2022	CY 2021	Varia Favorable (L	
	Rate	Rate	Amount	Percent
River management costs	\$16,396	\$14,871	(\$1,525)	(10.3%)
Less: interruptible share of river management – full-cost allocation	(\$3,287)	(\$2,980)	\$307	(10.3%)
Subtotal – river management costs allocated to firm	\$13,109	\$11,891	(\$1,218)	(10.2%)
Water debt obligation	\$7,794	\$6,549	(\$1,245)	(19.0%)
Other adjustments	\$5,061	\$4,804	(\$257)	(5.3%)
Total firm costs	\$25,964	\$23,244	(\$2,720)	(11.7%)

Firm Billing Units – 2022 Rate

			Firn			
Top 16 Firm Customers in Terms of Billing Units (84% of Total Billing Units)	Customer Type	A Maximum Annual Quantity (acre-feet)	B Use	C (A-B)*50% Reservation	D (B+C) Total Billing Units	% of Total Firm Billing Units
LCRA generation	LCRA gen	40,000	14,895	12,552	27,447	16.4%
Cedar Park, City of	Municipal	23,000	16,956	3,022	19,978	11.9%
Leander, City of	Municipal	24,000	10,958	6,521	17,479	10.4%
Brazos River Authority	Municipal	25,000	693	12,153	12,846	7.7%
Pflugerville, City of	Municipal	12,000	8,639	1,681	10,320	6.2%
Underground Services Markham, LP	Industrial	11,621	6,866	2,377	9,243	5.5%
Travis County Water Control and Improvement District No. 17	Municipal	8,800	7,988	DOES NOT PAY	7,988	4.8%
West Travis County Public Utility Agency	Municipal	9,000	6,323	1,339	7,662	4.6%
Fayette Power Project – Austin Energy	Industrial	7,500	2,795	2,352	5,147	3.1%
OQ Chemicals Corporation	Industrial	5,334	4,955	190	5,145	3.1%
Domestic use and temporary contracts	DU/temp	5,145	5,145	0	5,145	3.1%
Travis County Municipal Utility District No. 4	Municipal	3,501	2,161	670	2,831	1.7%
Lakeway MUD No. 1	Municipal	3,069	2,458	306	2,764	1.7%
Bastrop Energy Partners, LP	Industrial	3,220	1,667	777	2,444	1.5%
Horseshoe Bay, City of	Municipal	2,225	2,162	32	2,194	1.3%
Lago Vista, City of	Municipal	6,500	1,504	DOES NOT PAY	1,504	0.9%
All others (100 contracts)	Various		18,893	8,480	27,373	16.1%
Total firm billing units			115,058	52,452	167,510	100.0%

Firm Rate Calculation

(Dollars in thousands unless otherwise noted)			Variance – (Unfavo	
	CY 2022 Rate	CY 2021 Rate	Amount	Percent
River management costs	\$16,396	\$14,871	(\$1,525)	(10.3%)
Less: river management costs allocated to interruptible	(\$3,287)	(\$2,980)	\$307	10.3%
Add: water debt obligation	\$7,794	\$6,549	(\$1,245)	(19.0%)
Add: other adjustments				
Cash-funded capital	\$6,457	\$6,112	(\$345)	(5.6%)
STP Nuclear Operating Company – revenue offset	(\$985)	(\$985)	\$0	0.0%
City of Austin WTP No. 4 – revenue offset	(\$627)	(\$592)	\$35	5.9%
Corix Lometa lease – revenue offset	\$0	(\$123)	(\$123)	(100%)
Interruptible's share of river management paid by firm during transition	\$216	\$392	\$176	44.9%
Total firm costs	\$25,964	\$23,244	(\$2,720)	(11.7%)
Divided by: firm billing units (a-f)	167,510	160,299	7,211	4.5%
Equals: firm rate (\$ per a-f)	\$155.00	\$145.00	\$10.00	<mark>6.9%</mark>

Firm Use Rate History* (Dollars per a-f)

\$200

\$175 \$155 \$160 \$151 \$151 \$151 \$151 \$145 \$145 \$145 \$145 \$145 \$145 \$120 \$80 \$40 \$0 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 Actual Proposed

*Use rate is applied to volume of water diverted at the river. Most contracts also pay a reservation rate equal to one-half of the use rate applied to the volume of water reserved but not used.

CY 2022 Rates – Key Takeaways/Issues for Firm Rates

- Inclusion of \$22 million bond-out of existing commercial paper debt, excluding Arbuckle Reservoir-related debt
 - \$1.898 million annual debt service and coverage
- Rate stability
- Proposed increase to \$155 per a-f effective CY 2022

ENERGY • WATER • COMMUNITY SERVICES